# Q6 How important do you consider each of the following issues, on a scale of 1 (not important) to 5 (extremely important)?
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|  | **Not Important** | **Somewhat Important** | **Important** | **Very Important** | **Extremely Important** | **Total** | **Weighted Average** |
| The Scale of housing developments (i.e. the number of houses in a single phase of development) | **0.72%**  1 | **0.72%**  1 | **13.04%**  18 | **28.26%**  39 | **57.25%**  79 | 138 | 4.41 |
| Quality of Housing Design | **0.72%**  1 | **4.35%**  6 | **13.04%**  18 | **36.96%**  51 | **44.93%**  62 | 138 | 4.21 |
| Materials of Housing build | **0.74%**  1 | **6.67%**  9 | **22.22%**  30 | **37.04%**  50 | **33.33%**  45 | 135 | 3.96 |
| The Number of new houses built within each year | **4.41%**  6 | **1.47%**  2 | **19.12%**  26 | **33.09%**  45 | **41.91%**  57 | 136 | 4.07 |
| Residential Parking for new and existing homes | **0.74%**  1 | **6.62%**  9 | **16.91%**  23 | **28.68%**  39 | **47.06%**  64 | 136 | 4.15 |
| Defining and Meeting local housing needs | **0.00%**  0 | **5.84%**  8 | **28.47%**  39 | **35.04%**  48 | **30.66%**  42 | 137 | 3.91 |
| Heritage protection and enhancement | **7.35%**  10 | **5.15%**  7 | **14.71%**  20 | **28.68%**  39 | **44.12%**  60 | 136 | 3.97 |
| Dark Skies promotion | **12.50%**  17 | **11.76%**  16 | **13.24%**  18 | **22.06%**  30 | **40.44%**  55 | 136 | 3.66 |
| Wildlife protection and enhancement | **8.03%**  11 | **8.03%**  11 | **15.33%**  21 | **16.06%**  22 | **52.55%**  72 | 137 | 3.97 |
| Protection and enhancement of Green Spaces within the village | **11.03%**  15 | **0.74%**  1 | **16.91%**  23 | **21.32%**  29 | **50.00%**  68 | 136 | 3.99 |
| Protecting and enhancing the Mendip Hills Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty | **7.30%**  10 | **3.65%**  5 | **10.95%**  15 | **21.17%**  29 | **56.93%**  78 | 137 | 4.17 |
| Protecting against Noise pollution | **4.35%**  6 | **7.97%**  11 | **13.04%**  18 | **25.36%**  35 | **49.28%**  68 | 138 | 4.07 |
| Protecting against Air pollution | **2.19%**  3 | **9.49%**  13 | **9.49%**  13 | **24.09%**  33 | **54.74%**  75 | 137 | 4.20 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Protecting against Water pollution | **1.45%**  2 | **9.42%**  13 | **9.42%**  13 | **23.19%**  32 | **56.52%**  78 | 138 | 4.24 |
| Protecting against Flooding | **1.46%**  2 | **12.41%**  17 | **14.60%**  20 | **26.28%**  36 | **45.26%**  62 | 137 | 4.01 |
| Community Facilities: the Village Hall | **6.52%**  9 | **9.42%**  13 | **21.74%**  30 | **28.26%**  39 | **34.06%**  47 | 138 | 3.74 |
| Community Facilities: the Playing Field | **5.80%**  8 | **10.87%**  15 | **19.57%**  27 | **28.99%**  40 | **34.78%**  48 | 138 | 3.76 |
| Community Facilities: the Shop | **0.73%**  1 | **2.19%**  3 | **26.28%**  36 | **24.09%**  33 | **46.72%**  64 | 137 | 4.14 |
| Community Facilities: the School | **0.74%**  1 | **3.70%**  5 | **27.41%**  37 | **22.96%**  31 | **45.19%**  61 | 135 | 4.08 |
| Community Facilities: the Church | **4.35%**  6 | **25.36%**  35 | **19.57%**  27 | **22.46%**  31 | **28.26%**  39 | 138 | 3.45 |
| Community Facilities: the Pub | **0.72%**  1 | **19.57%**  27 | **30.43%**  42 | **21.01%**  29 | **28.26%**  39 | 138 | 3.57 |
| Parking for Community Facilities | **5.80%**  8 | **11.59%**  16 | **24.64%**  34 | **27.54%**  38 | **30.43%**  42 | 138 | 3.65 |
| The Capacity of the A371, and the impact of development on the road | **7.30%**  10 | **5.11%**  7 | **6.57%**  9 | **16.79%**  23 | **64.23%**  88 | 137 | 4.26 |
| The Capacity of village back roads | **8.76%**  12 | **8.76%**  12 | **16.79%**  23 | **20.44%**  28 | **45.26%**  62 | 137 | 3.85 |
| Pedestrian access to community facilities, and to wider footway/footpath networks | **10.29%**  14 | **5.15%**  7 | **13.24%**  18 | **23.53%**  32 | **47.79%**  65 | 136 | 3.93 |
| Cycle Paths | **14.71%**  20 | **8.82%**  12 | **18.38%**  25 | **24.26%**  33 | **33.82%**  46 | 136 | 3.54 |
| Sporting and Leisure facilities | **13.97%**  19 | **14.71%**  20 | **31.62%**  43 | **25.74%**  35 | **13.97%**  19 | 136 | 3.11 |
| Mobile Reception | **2.19%**  3 | **5.84%**  8 | **30.66%**  42 | **24.82%**  34 | **36.50%**  50 | 137 | 3.88 |
| Broadband speed | **2.92%**  4 | **3.65%**  5 | **25.55%**  35 | **26.28%**  36 | **41.61%**  57 | 137 | 4.00 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Are there any other Issues which you would like the Neighbourhood Plan to consider?** | **Date** |
| 1 | Footpath access. Any possible future developments, re camping park, i.e. mobile homes, cabins, tents etc Traffic calming through village (i.e. the narrows on A371) - enforcing speed restrictions. | 5/2/2017 3:15 PM |
| 2 | Public footpath access and maintenance. Prohibition of semi-permanent dwellings as holiday lets. Traffic calming on A371., especially at Mortar Pits. | 5/2/2017 3:10 PM |
| 3 | Prefer planning for small developments of 10 - 12 houses, more in keeping with village than large estates. | 5/2/2017 3:04 PM |
| 4 | De-classify the A371 to eliminate further heavy lorry use which is impacting quality of life as it is 24/7. Further development would be intolerable and dangerous. | 5/2/2017 2:55 PM |
| 5 | village roads and paths need repair. potholes cause hazards for pedestrians and drivers. | 5/2/2017 2:51 PM |
| 6 | WSM is a beautiful village and it would be a pity if it was overdeveloped. It should be preserved for future generations. I am disappointed by the extensions that have been permitted. On a sunny day a bright silver roof glares out at you from the Mendip Hills. If this was green it would be less unsightly. | 5/2/2017 2:37 PM |
| 7 | Speed limit of 20 mph on School Hill, Old Ditch and Lynch Lane - this is a rat run morning and evening. Erase Stancombe Lane from Sat Navs. | 5/2/2017 2:21 PM |
| 8 | Building a bye pass from Wells to Cheddar should not be forgotten. | 5/2/2017 2:14 PM |
| 9 | speed limit around school and village hall possibly 15 mph as some people seem to use school hill as a race track. Parking is needed at both sites: without, both shop and village hall would not function. \* some years ago I managed to get the weight restriction in the village, making it a quieter and safer place to live, so it is possible to make school hill safer | 5/2/2017 12:53 PM |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 10 | All-night lighting of industrial estate is unnecessary and against dark skies policy. No shields, no timers and protective shielding by trees has recently been removed. Superfast broadband is said to be possible but in fact the speeds and reliability have not improved since we started paying for this facility. | 5/1/2017 7:24 PM |
| 11 | - Ensure that infrastructure and local facilities are developed at similar pace to housing. - Ensure that public transport services provide suitable provision for the changing community. | 4/30/2017 6:04 PM |
| 12 | Open green spaces provide can provide a wildlife corridor, contribute to landscape, reduce noise and air pollution and gives the village its distinct look...compare and contrast with Draycott. | 4/30/2017 4:15 PM |
| 13 | We must ensure that we include step down housing wherever possible allowing older citizens the esse of remaining in village. Good quality small mixed housing. The a371 is busy with large lorries these should be re routed....noise and pollution. Hollybrook green gap must be maitained Dark skies policy must remain | 4/30/2017 12:20 PM |
| 14 | Litter and dog fouling. Public and Private Transport Public WiFi access Integrating businesses into the community Education for all ages | 4/29/2017 6:06 PM |
| 15 | Supporting local small businesses (e.g. those at Lodge Hill Industrial Estate + those who work from home) and achieving a balance between them and family assets (e.g. those of the Lloyd family. employees of L.A.Moore Ltd, etc. | 4/29/2017 3:10 PM |
| 16 | ALL these things are, at least, important. The village has splendid character and this must not be spoiled by huge developments such as those proposed by the Church Commissioners on several occasions - such proposals amount to cultural and social vandalism. Pensions or no, morality should come into play; the Church has (or should have) a duty to consider the needs of the communities in which it owns and manages property. Such has been startlingly lacking. Infill is the way forward with starter, or smaller, houses the priority. (*Redacted*) and I would like to downsize soon - I am anxious to stay in the village but what is available? The equestrianisation of fields has gone far enough. As has the proliferation of poorly-planned security lighting. It should be illegal for security lights to be activated by someone passing by in the road outside a property. In these days of infra-red security cameras, it should not be necessary for business premises to have floodlights on all night. Perhaps the footpaths officer could be given some support! There are a lot of walkers here, both locals and residents, and I think he could do with some help..... All good wishes for formulating the Plan. You'll never please everyone..... | 4/24/2017 10:23 PM |
| 17 | It is impossible to look at any plan for the future without looking at the global context. Climate change is the overwhelming force that will shape the future of our world. Lessons from the Transition Town movement can be learned, about how we move into a more sustainable future. In order for our villages to remain viable and sustainable into the future, we must be open to sustainable development. Young people have a difficult time in the current housing market, especially if they want to live in a rural situation. I would like to see more affordable housing, to preserve a mixed demographic and avoid the fate of other villages, where the population is ageing and young people cannot afford to live. As much pressure ought to be brought to bear in order to open the Strawberry Line cycle route through Westbury. Regards the playing field: It is scandalous that it is permissible to spray pesticides within such a close distance of facilities which children use to play. I believe the parish should move to do whatever is within its power to protect the children of the village from this. At present, the landowner can be seen spraying when children are in the park. | 4/24/2017 7:19 PM |
| 18 | Affordable housing for all , especially the young. Even starter homes. | 4/23/2017 8:34 PM |
| 19 | Whilst not an issue that the Rural District Council (RDC) can consider in formulating the neighbourhood plan the building of a bye pass road from Wells thro' to Cheddar would solve many of the problems associated with the plan. This, I believe, has been considered in the past and should not be forgotten. | 4/20/2017 6:11 PM |
| 20 | Removal of existing street lighting in Lodge Hill as it is unnecessary and wastes energy/money. | 4/20/2017 10:14 AM |
| 21 | Not at the moment | 4/20/2017 10:05 AM |
| 22 | Lack of footpaths on the main road through the whole of the village. Speed of vehicles through the village. Especially HGV's & Buses. Parking at village shop/pub/village hall. | 4/17/2017 8:36 PM |
| 23 | Completion of the Strawberry Line cycle path to link up Cheddar through Wells as a safe transit corridor. | 4/17/2017 5:37 PM |
| 24 | Cycle paths into wells | 4/17/2017 2:49 PM |
| 25 | At the start of The Long Drove there is an ongoing environmental issue which seems to be ignored by passing Environmental Officers. The Lodge Hill farm effluent has rotted the walling/shuttering around the yard and the liquid can easily be seen flowing down the whole of the first part of the road side. It is not only unpleasant it must surely be a health hazard. | 4/17/2017 2:42 PM |
| 26 | The increased traffic on the A371 needs coherent strategy to address the problem and the old railway line should be developed as a walking and cycling route as a matter of urgency. The narrowest part of the road between Westbury and Cheddar cries out for traffic light controls at each end of the narrowest part. | 4/12/2017 5:16 PM |
| 27 | ALL DECISIONS TAKEN BY MEMBER OF THE RURAL PARISH COUNCIL SHOULD REFLECT THE WISHES OF THE PRESENT RESIDENTS OF THE PARISH. PERSONAL OPINIONS OF THE MEMBERS OF THE RURAL PARISH COUNCIL SHOULD NOT BE REFLECTED WHEN FINAL DECISIONS ARE TAKEN AND PRESENTED TO MENDIP DC. I AM OF THE OPINION THAT INSUFFICIENT NOTICE OF RESIDENTIAL THOUGHTS ARE CONSIDERED WHEN PLANNING APPLICATIONS ARE PRESENTED TO THE RPC. | 4/10/2017 5:18 PM |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 28 | Covered under the above topics | 4/9/2017 8:40 AM |
| 29 | Would like to see more emphasis on opening the Strawberry line cycle way to Wells for safe access to Blue school and other leisure facilities in Wells. | 4/6/2017 9:53 PM |
| 30 | More first time buyers housing for my age group, can not afford 100% of the housing in Westbury-sub-mendip , so we can get on the property owning ladder and stay in our village. | 4/5/2017 1:19 PM |
| 31 | The village needs affordable housing urgently for our children to be able to get on the property ladder. The village is not supporting new business's and the need for customers and visitors to visit the sites to enable the selling of their produce, which is detrimental to the future of any successful enterprise. | 4/5/2017 1:07 PM |
| 32 | affordable housing | 4/5/2017 12:13 PM |
| 33 | affordable housing | 4/5/2017 12:11 PM |
| 34 | affordable housing | 4/5/2017 12:08 PM |
| 35 | Re- direction of traffic accessing Lodge Hill Industrial Units via Station Road. Station Road is a difficult turning for large lorries from and onto A 371 from both Cheddar and Wells directions. A safer route would be off A371 by Court House Farm. | 4/5/2017 11:20 AM |
| 36 | It is often difficult for older people living on their own to find suitable smaller houses to down size to within the village. So housing units for mature people with two bedrooms and bathrooms would be useful. The second bedroom enables family members to come and stay. | 4/5/2017 9:32 AM |
| 37 | Speed limit through the village reduced to 20mph Pedestrian crossing across main road near the school to ensure a safer environment for all to cross the road. | 4/4/2017 9:15 PM |
| 38 | New housing would probably be most easily developed south of the A371. The access between the housing and the A371 should be as short as possible and designed to allow incremental additions to the scheme. It is important that a significant proportion should be starter homes or housing association homes. However, a range of housing must be built to facilitate social and economic diversity. The area adjacent to Stoneleigh would be possible, but there would need to be major reconstruction of the road junction with the A371. Land would have to be taken from Home Farm to create a perpendicular alignment with the A371. If in time the development became substantial, and pressure developed on the village school, it could be relocated to the new development and a path/cycleway could be constructed to link it with Station Road. Westbury School is more likely to survive if the cohort moving through the school is larger and not varying in the size year on year. Incremental additions to houses for young families should achieve this result. A slightly larger school would create economies of scale and a more rounded education. After school clubs would be more achievable. | 4/4/2017 3:49 PM |
| 39 | Any development should avoid dividing the village any more than it already is i.e. between the more and less affluence-orientated halves. | 4/4/2017 8:33 AM |
| 40 | The scope/potential to attract additional (to the shop) retail facilities to the village Protection of access (footpaths/bridleways etc) as they currently exist Support for new, safe and environmentally friendly access routes between W-s-M and Cheddar/Wells (for pedestrians/cycles/horses) | 4/3/2017 12:05 PM |
| 41 | Protecting outviews from, and views into the AONB. Requirement for all developments of 5 or more dwellings to include provision for affordable housing, landscaping scheme and built to minimum Code 4 sustainable housing standard. Specific maximum on new dwellings to discourage Church Commissioners from holding on to land hoping to maximize revenue instead of realizing community value. | 4/2/2017 11:12 PM |
| 42 | More affordable housing | 4/2/2017 9:47 PM |
| 43 | Mdc should dictate the village plan not the PCC as there is always going to be a conflict of interest. | 4/2/2017 9:42 PM |
| 44 | Unsightly Portacabins in gardens near AONB | 4/2/2017 9:38 PM |
| 45 | The safety of children going to school. Light trespass/light pollution. Public transport facilities. | 3/31/2017 4:36 PM |
| 46 | This is probably covered by various issues above, but infractructure requirements such as sewerage, drainage and the overhead power supply must be taken into account - from past experience elsewhere (!) developers often underplay the strain on existing facilities and the PC should insist that proper account be made of these before any future development is made. Interested to learn that Hinkley Point traffic will affect the A371: should it not be diverted via the A39 (although that's narrow in places as well)? Summer tourist traffic is significant too and should be taken into consideration (tourers and caravans in particular - once spent 20 minutes waiting for a lorry and caravan to negotiate a tight spot, no fun if you're waiting for emergency services).There is no answer to this other than a bypass down near the Axe, but that won't happen... Not a cyclist and certainly wouldn't attempt it on the A371, but would it be possible to consider a walkway/cycle path between Wells and Cheddar off the road somewhere? I don't know the area well enough to comment further, but it might be worth investigating. | 3/30/2017 10:51 AM |
| 47 | Have an easily accessible plan showing exactly where all the public footpaths are in the village.(perhaps on the village website). | 3/30/2017 9:58 AM |
| 48 | When planning new homes please think about the elderly wanting to downsize. Consider providing in the plan some sort of development for this group thus enabling them to stay in the village. I have raised this matter before. | 3/30/2017 9:38 AM |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 49 | Implementation of the Wells cheddar cycle path | 3/29/2017 7:33 PM |
| 50 | Reduce speed limits, particularly around school and up Old Ditch | 3/29/2017 4:51 PM |
| 51 | Create a new village hall on the playing field site with upto date facilities and good parking that all villagers could make use of including the school. New school should also be built adjacent (see Priddy) New estates planned should be small scale and well scattered rather than large impact so as to harmonise within the village community, good mix of housing for first timers, families and elderly. Improve broad band speed and phone network coverage. Small workshop areas for start up businesses and village community use age, must be in keeping with the village not like the Station Road industrial units. Keep Westbury a village, in size, design and proportion, do not make it a continuous link with Easton and Rodney Stoke. | 3/29/2017 1:00 PM |
| 52 | Provision of low-cost housing for purchase or rental to allow young people to live in Westbury. Completion of the Strawberry Line multi-user path Effective enforcement by Mendip DC of planning rules, especially relating to agricultural buildings within the AONB 20mph speed limit in the area around School Hill and The Square Bollards/chicanes and priority signs at narrow points on the A371 | 3/29/2017 9:26 AM |
| 53 | Possibility of new build for the school to give more outdoor space and appropriate space for pre and post school day care You did mention cycle path - but on-going lobbying re Strawberry Way cycling and walking route I know this has been investigated....on-going lobbying about possibility of something that will enforce speed restrictions on A371 and discouragement of heavy lorries using the road other than for access | 3/29/2017 8:54 AM |
| 54 | Fly tipping and the amount of rubbish that is around. | 3/28/2017 11:28 AM |
| 55 | Covered by the above | 3/28/2017 10:20 AM |
| 56 | More affordable housing | 3/27/2017 8:49 PM |
| 57 | Affordable housing | 3/27/2017 8:43 PM |
| 58 | More affordable housing for the younger generation | 3/27/2017 1:25 PM |
| 59 | More affordable housing within the village for the younger generation | 3/27/2017 1:22 PM |
| 60 | More affordable housing | 3/27/2017 1:18 PM |
| 61 | Speed controls/restrictions on main road, 30mph may as well be 50 - 60 mph past my house. | 3/27/2017 10:28 AM |
| 62 | Off road footpath and cycle routes to Wells and Cheddar. Infrastructure provision in terms of school and GP capacity before new build increases the local population. Capacity of the A371 to cope with additional traffic. | 3/25/2017 8:23 PM |
| 63 | It is extremely important we do not lose the character of a small Somerset village. New builds even on a small level if not controlled can destroy the features we currently enjoy | 3/25/2017 5:54 PM |
| 64 | Public transport. Whilst I am lucky enough to have access to a car, public transport is an important issue for those who either cannot afford to have a car or are prevented from driving for medical/lifestyle reasons. | 3/25/2017 2:57 PM |
| 65 | New housing needs to take into account safe walking routes to school/shop/bus and safe access for commuters from the development onto the already busy and overloaded A371 | 3/25/2017 10:25 AM |
| 66 | A combined approach by the Parish Council, Police and County Highways to stop heavy traffic use of the A371 except for access. | 3/24/2017 5:37 PM |
| 67 | Traffic calming measures on the A 371 The availability of an appropriate mix of housing suitable to maintain a balanced community Public transport links to Wells, Cheddar and beyond | 3/24/2017 3:12 PM |
| 68 | A restriction/prohibition on heavy vehicle traffic on the A371 - the size and quantity of the lorry traffic travelling through our village is beyond belief and the buck passing over dealing with the issue between police, highways and local government is inexcusable.. | 3/24/2017 11:56 AM |
| 69 | No | 3/23/2017 2:49 PM |
| 70 | Any potential for joint ventures with neighbouring parishes. | 3/20/2017 11:01 AM |
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| **Answer Choices** | **Responses** |
| Yes | **83.45%** 116 |
| No | **9.35%** 13 |
| Don't Know | **7.19%** 10 |
| **Total** | **139** |

# Q8 Finally, thank you for completing this survey. Do you have any other comment?

Answered: 50 Skipped: 89

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **#** | **Responses** | **Date** |
| 1 | Housing development to be created in small or medium size pockets, to encourage integration with the main village, so that an 'alternative' village is not created. | 5/2/2017 3:10 PM |
| 2 | When asking for planning permission for extensions it would help if applicants talked to neighbours first. New development and extensions should provide car parking where possible. | 5/2/2017 2:37 PM |
| 3 | Please will you let us know who is on the Neighbourhood Plan Working group. | 5/2/2017 2:21 PM |
| 4 | Rural District Council Members should not let personal relations affect decision making. | 5/2/2017 2:14 PM |
| 5 | Clearing the road verges. Removal of plants and trees would widen the roads by 2 feet in some places and expose the stone walls which would in themselves slow the traffic - hitting a wall is more serious than hitting a tree / hedge. It would also make the village look more 'kempt'. | 5/1/2017 9:08 PM |
| 6 | All weather surface is an excellent new facility Concerned over access to public footpaths with new landowner west of village | 5/1/2017 7:24 PM |
| 7 | I understand the need for more housing in all areas of the county and we all must think of ways to enhance the area without losing the inherent rural nature of the village. Secondly, ribbon development of houses along the A371 must be avoided as the road is is so narrow in some parts. Quarry lorries should be stopped from using the A371 | 4/30/2017 4:35 PM |
| 8 | Great effort by many, it will be valuable for years to come for the community. | 4/30/2017 4:15 PM |
| 9 | The A371 has seen an increase in heavy goods traffic which the road in places is really not suitable to take. | 4/30/2017 12:26 PM |
| 10 | Though a cycle path would take cyclists off the road and some pedestrians, it will still not do enough. We should have a bypass for the larger noisey lorries etc which will still use the a371. Any cycle path should take in to account the homes that it will pass... The quality of life ie, noise, parking should not cause the people who live by it to deteriorate. There are bats, and other wildlife along the proposed route to consider also. Any new plans for homes must take in to account most people will be commuting to work in other areas. This will be extra daily traffic on roads and not everyone can cycle to work. | 4/30/2017 12:20 PM |
| 11 | Providing networking opportunities and social spaces for all ages is important to promote community cohesion and wellbeing. | 4/29/2017 6:06 PM |
| 12 | I don't much fancy Somerset's chances of winning the County Cricket Championship this year - do you? And the prayer for the day; Oh Lord, save us from populism, fascistic demagogues and false news. Amen | 4/24/2017 10:23 PM |
| 13 | Being relatively new to the village whilst listening to many comments made by residents during social evenings, one issue often comes to the fore. That is - many decisions taken by the Rural District Council are made and taken by council members with personal dislike of the person making a planning application or suggestion This form of dismissal of an application is often against the wishes of the people of W.S.M. The council is elected to undertake the wishes of the community and personal conflict should not be allowed to effect the final decision. | 4/20/2017 6:11 PM |
| 14 | No | 4/20/2017 10:05 AM |
| 15 | We wouldn't support or encourage large scale developments like whole estates. Would prefer to see more independent builds with varied character, with a mix of modern and period styles to compliment the area. A more sensible approach to building within the conservation area, as the village has to expand in all directions, not just south of the main road. | 4/17/2017 8:36 PM |
| 16 | No opposed to development as long as it is proportionate. | 4/17/2017 5:37 PM |
| 17 | No | 4/14/2017 5:00 PM |
| 18 | I believe that any building development within the village should be in keeping with existing properties. We should make full use of any opportunities for small scale in-fill development before any large scale developments are considered, and we should not extend beyond existing village boundaries, unless to extend/improve existing buildings in a sympathetic manner. | 4/12/2017 4:44 PM |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 19 | YES - I AM RELIABLY INFORMED THAT A LONG STANDING RESIDENT OF THE VILLAGE HAS OFFERED TO GIVE A SIZEABLE PIECE OF LAND TO THE VILLAGE IF PERMISSION WAS APPROVED TO BUILD A SMALL NUMBER OF LOW COST STARTER HOMES. HAS THIS BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE RURAL PARISH COUNCIL? IF NOT WHY NOT? THE A371 IS RECOGNISED AS A CONSTRICTED HIGHWAY AND SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED HAS A GRADE "A" THOROUGHFARE. THE ONE ALTERNATIVE WHICH COULD REMEDY THIS CONGESTION WOULD BE TO CONSTRUCT A ROAD BETWEEN WELLS AND CHEDDAR USING THE ROUTE OF THE FORMER RAILWAY LINER. THIS WOULD HAVE TO BE A COUNTY PROJECT BUT WORTHY OF CONSIDERATION. TO THE BENEFIT OF ALL DWELLINGS AND THEIR OCCUPIERS ALONG THIS NOW, AND FUTURE, OVER USED HIGHWAY BY HEAVY GOODS VEHICLES. | 4/10/2017 5:18 PM |
| 20 | None - thank you | 4/9/2017 8:40 AM |
| 21 | No | 4/5/2017 11:20 AM |
| 22 | Let's hope that we can keep development within sensible bounds otherwise the character and beauty of the village would be seriously compromised. | 4/4/2017 6:23 PM |
| 23 | If development occurs south of the A371. the age profile of the area north of the A371 will become significantly older than that of a new development to the south. This would be especially so if the new builds includes starter and housing association homes. The households with a larger proportion of children would be closer to the school whether it remained on School Hill, or if if moved to a new location south of the A371. Moving the village hall south of the A371 would potentially increase its value to the community. Those with disabilities would be able to access it more easily with car parking and step free access. Families would be able to use it for a range of activities. It would also be possible to make it even more of a facility for increasing physical activity in the village. The Wells- Cheddar cycle path has been a long standing ambition for many people. However, there is the possibility of enhancing accessibility south of the A371 by building a path/cycle route between Station Rd and Roughmoor Lane without extending it to Rodney Stoke or Easton. Even greater benefits would accrue if the route could be extended to Easton and Cheddar. | 4/4/2017 3:49 PM |
| 24 | Thank you for making it with such great attention to detail. You've covered everything I feel like I could have come up with if I'd worked at it for a long time and asked a great number of people. It must have taken you a very long time. Hope you had tea and cake at the very least. | 4/3/2017 7:37 PM |
| 25 | No - but thank you to the PC for your hard work and initiatives taken on behalf of other residents. | 4/3/2017 12:05 PM |
| 26 | Mdc not the PCC should decide on the neighbourhood plan as the PCC members have a conflict of interest. | 4/2/2017 9:47 PM |
| 27 | More affordable housing | 4/2/2017 9:42 PM |
| 28 | More effort by the PCC to encourage affordable housing in the village as there is nothing! | 4/2/2017 9:38 PM |
| 29 | Next time there is a survey, please can it have an even number( ie. 1 - 6 not 1 - 5 ). That way those who tend to sit- on-the-fence, always going for the middle option, will be thwarted. | 3/31/2017 4:36 PM |
| 30 | I AM CONSCIOUS THAT WE CANNOT LIVE IN A COOCON AND PROGRESS MUST MOVE ON HOWEVER THE MAIN ROAD A 371 CREATED LOTS OF PROBLEMS AFFECTING VILLAGE LIFE MORE CARS WILL PRESENT A HUGE PROBLEM ON THIS 'SMALL' ROAD IT IS COMFORTING TO KNOW THAT A REFERENDUM WILL BE HELD, BEARING IN MIND THE SHEER VOLUME OF HOUSES BEING BUILT IN WELLS AND ITS OUTLYING DISTRICTS WHERE ARE THE INDUSTRIES AND INFRA STRUCTURE TO SUPPORT THE EXTRA HOUSES | 3/31/2017 3:01 PM |
| 31 | The infrastructure of the village is already stretched therefore any large development would be difficult. Would like to see infilling before using Google agricultural land. We have a lot of wildlife which needs to be protected. One important issue is the already overcrowded, busy and dangerous main road, it cannot take further access points - the part of the road which runs through the village is nothing but Bends! | 3/30/2017 9:58 AM |
| 32 | Development will happen we just need to control the scope | 3/29/2017 7:33 PM |
| 33 | A lot of the traditional stone wall field boundaries are in an awful state and barbed wire is being used as a replacement. These should be maintained as part of our historical / village traditional building as should small field barns which are being left to collapse. Footpath signage and access still poor in some areas with some owners deliberately ignoring rights of way and blocking access. Increase opening hours to recycling centres, small businesses should not have to pay as this is leading to a steady increase in local fly tipping | 3/29/2017 1:00 PM |
| 34 | Thank you for taking this on. I hope there is a good response | 3/29/2017 8:54 AM |
| 35 | I do not want to see any more houses built in or around westbury. The village has out grown everything that it currently has and if it gets any bigger it will very quickly lose the community feel it has. I also think all the local groups need to do more advertising and make it easier for people to know what is going on. The website needs improving no end. I moved back to the village about 5 weeks ago and we have no idea what is going on and when. | 3/28/2017 11:28 AM |
| 36 | I have covered everything that I wish to say in the survey | 3/28/2017 10:20 AM |
| 37 | Mdc should dictate village issues which are this important not the PCC | 3/27/2017 8:49 PM |
| 38 | Let Mdc organise the village decisions as the PCC are not representative of the majority in the village | 3/27/2017 8:43 PM |
| 39 | MDC should make village decisions on matters such as this as they are unbiased in their decisions. | 3/27/2017 1:25 PM |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 40 | Due to inherent interest of the PCC members the decisions for village housing should be made at MDC level. | 3/27/2017 1:22 PM |
| 41 | I consider the village issues should be addressed by MDC as the PCC have too much of a personal interest in these important issues. | 3/27/2017 1:18 PM |
| 42 | I am very much in favour of single or very small quantity / infill house building of good quality but very much against yet another housing estate. | 3/27/2017 10:28 AM |
| 43 | This village has a strong community and turning it into a dormantory village with a large housing development would put this in jeapardy. Development is necessary but needs to be organic and in keeping with the size of the existing village. The access to the surrounding countryside and views afforded is much appreciated and should be preserved. | 3/25/2017 8:23 PM |
| 44 | Thank you for putting this together | 3/24/2017 5:37 PM |
| 45 | Any future building proposals must be carefully looked at to take into account 2 major considerations, namely the extremely busy and narrow A371 with its dangerous bends and access points and the wildlife habitat. We are fortunate to live in a beautiful rural village and do not want it to become just another hamlet of Wells. If houses have to be built, I would rather see Infilling with individual houses or very small groups and not a large development. | 3/24/2017 3:15 PM |
| 46 | Thank you for putting the exercise together. | 3/24/2017 11:56 AM |
| 47 | Housing developments seem inevitable but I am against larger sites of 30 homes Smaller developments would be easier if situated in an appropriate location. | 3/23/2017 6:26 PM |
| 48 | Change is inevitable. It seems as though most Somerset villages will have to accept additional housing in the coming years. The important factor is for future developments to be small and well thought out. A single large development of 50+ houses would be catastrophic for Westbury sub Mendip. | 3/23/2017 5:35 PM |
| 49 | If there are to be 50 odd new houses built, the basic infrastructure needs to be considered BEFORE the houses are built not as an afterthought or as a reaction to services being so stretched that they start to 'give' at the seams | 3/23/2017 2:49 PM |
| 50 | Once created, there should be a way to revise and update the Neighbourhood Plan. | 3/20/2017 11:01 AM |